UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/537,384  | 06/03/2005          | Richard N Fargo      | 60469-220;OT-5079   | 4539             |  |
| David J. Gaskey                                   | 7590 12/24/200<br>V | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| Carlson, Gaske                                    | y \$ Olds           | SINGH, KAVEL         |                     |                  |  |
| 400 w. maple rd suite 350<br>Birmingham, MI 48009 |                     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |                     |                      | 3651                |                  |  |
|   |                     |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |                     |                      | 12/24/2008          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/537,384      | FARGO ET AL. |  |
|                 |              |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |

|   | RAVEL F. SINGIT  | 3031  |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  |  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ano event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>).                           | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FI       | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                    |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi                            | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   | nsideration and/or search (see NO  |   | cause                                    |
| <ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti<br/>appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.</li> </ul>   |  |   | ne issues for                            |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>   |  | mpliant Amendment (                                       | PTOL-324).                               |
| <ul> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>  |  | timely filed amendmer                                     | nt canceling the                         |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  | · -  | l be entered and an e                                     | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | l sufficient reasons why the affidav   | it or other evidence is                                   | necessary and                            |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fail                                  | s to provide a                           |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach                                   | ed.                                      |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.   | does NOT place the application ir  | condition for allowan                                     | ce because:                              |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |
| /Gene Crawford/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3651   |  |   |  |
|   |  |   |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Boltrek reference cannot be modified by Hart. The teachings of Hart is the urethane belt since it would allow the belt of Boltrek (which is made of metal) to be pliable. Boltrek teaches all the structural elements of the Applicant's invention.